

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>
	09/869,175	TSUDA ET AL.
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>
	Dan Washburn	2672

**All Participants:**

(1) Dan Washburn. (3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Jeffrey Filipek. (4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 8 March 2006

**Time:** 10 AM

**Type of Interview:**

Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant  Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes  No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

*none*

Claims discussed:

1-48

Prior art documents discussed:

*none*


**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

See Continuation Sheet

**Part III.**

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner Washburn called Mr. Filipek regarding a restriction of applicant's invention into two groups, group 1: claims 1-20 and 34-48 and group 2: claims 21-33. Mr. Filipek instructed Examiner Washburn to mail out the restriction, as his clients are in Japan and aren't easily reached.